



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,825	05/22/2001	Satoru Ueda	7217/64562	8871	
75	7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER		
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2122	12	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	,		PRY		
ñ	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/862,825	UEDA, SATORU			
Advisory Addistr	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael J. Yigdall	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE 7. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and application and the second applications are second applications.	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	•			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	•		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.					

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims and would require further consideration. In at least independent claims 1 and 5, the newly presented "removable storage medium" (for example, a floppy disk, CD, DVD, etc.) is different than the "storage medium" as previously considered (for example, a hard drive).

MY 4/1/04

> TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER